

# Local Review Body – List of Policies

**Local Review Reference:** 21/00032/RREF

**Planning Application Reference:** 21/00836/FUL

**Development Proposal:** Siting of mobile catering truck and alterations to existing access

**Location:** Land At Station Yard Traquair Road Innerleithen

**Applicant:** Mr Gordon Bain

## Scottish Borders Local Development Plan 2016

### POLICY PMD2: QUALITY STANDARDS

All new development will be expected to be of high quality in accordance with sustainability principles, designed to fit with Scottish Borders townscapes and to integrate with its landscape surroundings. The standards which will apply to all development are that:

#### Sustainability

- a) In terms of layout, orientation, construction and energy supply, the developer has demonstrated that appropriate measures have been taken to maximise the efficient use of energy and resources, including the use of renewable energy and resources such as District Heating Schemes and the incorporation of sustainable construction techniques in accordance with supplementary planning guidance. Planning applications must demonstrate that the current carbon dioxide emissions reduction target has been met, with at least half of this target met through the use of low or zero carbon technology,
- b) it provides digital connectivity and associated infrastructure,
- c) it provides for Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems in the context of overall provision of Green Infrastructure where appropriate and their after-care and maintenance,
- d) it encourages minimal water usage for new developments,
- e) it provides for appropriate internal and external provision for waste storage and presentation with, in all instances, separate provision for waste and recycling and, depending on the location, separate provision for composting facilities,
- f) it incorporates appropriate hard and soft landscape works, including structural or screen planting where necessary, to help integration with its surroundings and the wider environment and to meet open space requirements. In some cases agreements will be required to ensure that landscape works are undertaken at an early stage of development and that appropriate arrangements are put in place for long term landscape/open space maintenance,
- g) it considers, where appropriate, the long term adaptability of buildings and spaces.

#### Placemaking & Design

- h) It creates developments with a sense of place, based on a clear understanding of the context, designed in sympathy with Scottish Borders architectural styles; this need not exclude appropriate contemporary and/or innovative design,
- i) it is of a scale, massing, height and density appropriate to its surroundings and, where an extension or alteration, appropriate to the existing building,
- j) it is finished externally in materials, the colours and textures of which complement the highest quality of architecture in the locality and, where an extension or alteration, the existing building,
- k) it is compatible with, and respects the character of the surrounding area, neighbouring uses, and neighbouring built form,
- l) it can be satisfactorily accommodated within the site,
- m) it provides appropriate boundary treatments to ensure attractive edges to the development that will help integration with its surroundings,
- n) it incorporates, where appropriate, adequate safety and security measures, in accordance with current guidance on 'designing out crime'.

# Local Review Body – List of Policies

## Accessibility

- o) Street layouts must be designed to properly connect and integrate with existing street patterns and be able to be easily extended in the future where appropriate in order to minimise the need for turning heads and isolated footpaths,
- p) it incorporates, where required, access for those with mobility difficulties,
- q) it ensures there is no adverse impact on road safety, including but not limited to the site access,
- r) it provides for linkages with adjoining built up areas including public transport connections and provision for buses, and new paths and cycleways, linking where possible to the existing path network; Travel Plans will be encouraged to support more sustainable travel patterns,
- s) it incorporates adequate access and turning space for vehicles including those used for waste collection purposes.

## Greenspace, Open Space & Biodiversity

- t) It provides meaningful open space that wherever possible, links to existing open spaces and that is in accordance with current Council standards pending preparation of an up-to-date open space strategy and local standards. In some cases a developer contribution to wider neighbourhood or settlement provision may be appropriate, supported by appropriate arrangements for maintenance,
- u) it retains physical or natural features or habitats which are important to the amenity or biodiversity of the area or makes provision for adequate mitigation or replacements.

Developers are required to provide design and access statements, design briefs and landscape plans as appropriate.

## **POLICY PMD3: LAND USE ALLOCATIONS**

Development will be approved in principle for the land uses allocated on the Land Use Proposals tables and accompanying Proposals Maps.

Development will be in accordance with any Council approved planning or development brief provided it meets the requirements for the site and its acceptability has been confirmed in writing by the Council.

Sites proposed for redevelopment or mixed use may be developed for a variety of uses subject to other local plan policies. Where there is evidence of demand for specific uses or a specific mix of uses, these may be identified in a Planning Brief and the site requirements detailed within the Local Plan.

Within new housing allocations other subsidiary uses may be appropriate provided these can be accommodated in accordance with policy and without adversely affecting the character of the housing area. Planning Briefs and site requirements detailed within the Local Plan may set out the range of uses that are appropriate or that will require to be accommodated in specific allocations.

Any other use on allocated sites will be refused unless the developer can demonstrate that:

- a) it is ancillary to the proposed use and in the case of proposed housing development, it still enables the site to be developed in accordance with the indicative capacity shown in the Land Use Proposals table and/or associated planning briefs, or
- b) there is a constraint on the site and no reasonable prospect of its becoming available for the development of the proposed use within the Local Plan period, or
- c) the alternative use offers significant community benefits that are considered to outweigh the need to maintain the original proposed use, and

# Local Review Body – List of Policies

- d) the proposal is otherwise acceptable under the criteria for infill development.

## **POLICY ED1: PROTECTION OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL LAND**

The Council aims to maintain a supply of business and industrial land allocations in the Scottish Borders (see Table 1). There is a presumption in favour of the retention of industrial and business use on strategic and district sites, including new land use proposals for business and industrial land.

### **1. STRATEGIC SITES**

The Council rigorously protects strategic business and industrial sites for employment uses.

- a) **Strategic High Amenity Sites**  
Development on Strategic High Amenity Sites will be predominantly for Class 4 use. Other complementary commercial activity e.g. offices, call centres and high technology uses may be acceptable if it enhances the quality of the business park as an employment location.
- b) **Strategic Business and Industrial Sites**  
Development for uses other than Classes 4, 5 and 6 on strategic business and industrial sites in the locations identified in Table 1 will generally be refused. Uses other than Class 4, 5 or 6 can be considered if clearly demonstrated as contributing to the efficient functioning of the allocated site.

### **2. DISTRICT SITES**

Although District sites do not merit the same level of stringent protection as Strategic sites there remains a preference to retain these within employment uses.

However, development other than Classes 4, 5 and 6 may be accepted on district business and industrial sites identified in Table 1 in order to, where appropriate, allow a more mixed use area.

Proposals for development outwith Class 4, 5 and 6 will be considered against the following criteria:

- a) the loss of business and industrial land does not prejudice the existing and predicted long term requirements for industrial and business land in the locality, and
- b) the alternative land use is considered to offer significant benefits to the surrounding area and community that outweigh the need to retain the site in business and industrial use, and
- c) there is a constraint on the site whereby there is no reasonable prospect of its becoming marketable for business and industrial development in the future, or
- d) the predominant land uses have changed owing to previous exceptions to policy such that a more mixed use land use pattern is now considered acceptable by the Council.

### **3. LOCAL SITES**

Although Local sites are allocated for business and industrial use, these are considered to have a lower priority and need for retention in the hierarchy of all business and industrial sites. Consequently alternative uses are likely to be supported.

Development other than Classes 4, 5 and 6 are likely to be supported on local business and industrial sites identified in Table 1. Retail may be acceptable on local sites where they are located within or adjacent to town centres.

## **POLICY ED3: TOWN CENTRES AND SHOPPING DEVELOPMENT**

# Local Review Body – List of Policies

The Council will seek to develop and enhance the role of town centres. A network of centres and growth of the retail sector will be supported through directing development to the following district town centres:

Duns, Eyemouth, Galashiels, Hawick, Jedburgh, Kelso, Melrose, Peebles, Selkirk

To protect town centres, town centre locations will be preferred to edge-of-centre locations which, in turn, will be preferred to out-of-centre locations. An out-of-centre location will only be considered where there is no suitable site available in a town centre or edge-of-centre location.

The council will support a wide range of uses appropriate to a town centre. Proposals for shopping development and other town centre developments will generally be approved within defined district town centres provided that the character, vitality, viability, and mixed use nature of the town centre will be maintained and enhanced. For the avoidance of doubt, the council will apply the preferred order of locations set out above to appropriate uses generating significant footfall, including community and cultural facilities, offices, libraries, and education and healthcare facilities as well as retail and commercial leisure uses. It will also ensure that different uses are developed in the most appropriate locations.

Town centre enhancement, including the provision of new retail facilities and complementary non-retail uses, will be encouraged in centres both within the hierarchy and other centres which:

- a) are council priorities for area regeneration because of special economic difficulties and/or population decline,
- b) are subject to significant retail spending leakage,
- c) play an important role in areas planned for substantial development under the development strategy.

The council will have regard to the following considerations, where relevant, in assessing applications for out of centre development, including retail proposals:

- a) the individual or cumulative impact of the proposed development on the vitality and viability of existing town centres,
- b) the availability of a suitable town centre or edge of centre site,
- c) the ability of the proposal to meet deficiencies in shopping provision which cannot be met in town centre or edge of centre locations,
- d) the impact of the proposal on travel patterns and car usage,
- e) the accessibility of the site by a choice of means of transport,
- f) the preference for commercial centres in the preferred order of locations, including appropriate retail clusters and parks, over other out of centre locations,
- g) the extent to which a proposal would constitute appropriate small scale shopping provision designed to serve the needs of local rural communities,
- h) the location of the proposal. Sites will be located within existing settlements and, within them preference will be given to applications on vacant or derelict sites, or on sites deemed to be surplus to requirements.

The council will encourage the use of town centres during the evening provided residential amenity is protected. Any proposed development which would create an unacceptable adverse impact on the town centre will be refused.

## **POLICY HD3: PROTECTION OF RESIDENTIAL AMENITY**

# Local Review Body – List of Policies

Development that is judged to have an adverse impact on the amenity of existing or proposed residential areas will not be permitted. To protect the amenity and character of these areas, any developments will be assessed against:

- a) the principle of the development, including where relevant, any open space that would be lost; and
- b) the details of the development itself particularly in terms of:
  - (i) the scale, form and type of development in terms of its fit within a residential area,
  - (ii) the impact of the proposed development on the existing and surrounding properties particularly in terms of overlooking, loss of privacy and sunlighting provisions. These considerations apply especially in relation to garden ground or 'backland' development,
  - (iii) the generation of traffic or noise,
  - (iv) the level of visual impact.

## **POLICY EP1: INTERNATIONAL NATURE CONSERVATION SITES AND PROTECTED SPECIES**

Development proposals which will have a likely significant effect on a designated or proposed Natura site, which includes all Ramsar sites, are only permissible where:

- a) an appropriate assessment has demonstrated that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site, or
- b) there are no alternative solutions, and
- c) there are imperative reasons of overriding public interest including those of a social or economic nature

Where a development proposal is sited where there is the likely presence of an EPS, the planning authority must be satisfied that:

- a) there is no satisfactory alternative, and
- b) the development is required for preserving public health or public safety or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance to the environment, and
- c) the development is not detrimental to the maintenance of the population of a EPS at a favourable conservation status in its natural range.

## **POLICY EP16: AIR QUALITY**

Development proposals that, individually or cumulatively, could adversely affect the quality of air in a locality to a level that could potentially harm human health and wellbeing or the integrity of the natural environment, must be accompanied by provisions that the Council is satisfied will minimise such impacts to an acceptable degree. Where it is considered appropriate the Council may request that an Air Quality Assessment is undertaken to assist determination of an application.

## **POLICY IS7: PARKING PROVISION AND STANDARDS**

Development proposals should provide for car and cycle parking in accordance with approved standards.

Relaxation of technical standards will be considered where appropriate due to the nature of the development and/or if positive amenity gains can be demonstrated that do not compromise road safety.

# Local Review Body – List of Policies

In town centres where there appear to be parking difficulties, the Council will consider the desirability of seeking additional public parking provision, in the context of policies to promote the use of sustainable travel modes.

## **POLICY IS8**

At all times, avoidance will be the first principle of managing flood risk. In general terms, new development should therefore be located in areas free from significant flood risk.

Development will not be permitted if it would be at significant risk of flooding from any source or would materially increase the probability of flooding elsewhere. The ability of functional flood plains to convey and store floodwater should be protected, and development should be located away from them.

Within certain defined risk categories, particularly where the risk is greater than 0.5% annual flooding probability or 1 in 200 year flood risk, some forms of development will generally not be acceptable. These include:

- a) development comprising essential civil infrastructure such as hospitals, fire stations, emergency depots etc., schools, care homes, ground-based electrical and telecommunications equipment unless subject to an appropriate long term flood risk management strategy;
- b) additional built development in undeveloped and sparsely developed areas.

Other forms of development will be subject to an assessment of the risk and mitigation measures.

Developers will be required to provide, including if necessary at planning permission in principle stage:

- a) a competent flood risk assessment, including all sources of flooding, and taking account of climate change; and
- b) a report of the measures that are proposed to mitigate the flood risk.

The information used to assess the acceptability of development will include:

- a) information and advice from consultation with the council's flood team and the Scottish Environment Protection Agency;
- b) flood risk maps provided by the Scottish Environment Protection Agency which indicate the extent of the flood plain;
- c) historical records and flood studies held by the council and other agencies, including past flood risk assessment reports carried out by consultants and associated comments from the Scottish Environment Protection Agency, also held by the council;
- (d) the Scottish Environment Protection Agency's Land Use Vulnerability Guidance.

## **POLICY IS9: WASTE WATER TREATMENT STANDARDS AND SUSTAINABLE URBAN DRAINAGE**

### **WASTE WATER TREATMENT STANDARDS**

The Council's preferred method of dealing with waste water associated with new development will be, in order of priority:

- a) direct connection to the public sewerage system, including pumping if necessary, or failing that:
- b) negotiating developer contributions with Scottish Water to upgrade the existing sewerage network and/or increasing capacity at the waste water treatment works, or failing that:

# Local Review Body – List of Policies

c) agreement with Scottish Water and SEPA where required to provide permanent or temporary alternatives to sewer connection including the possibility of stand alone treatment plants until sewer capacity becomes available, or, failing that:

d) for development in the countryside i.e. not within or immediately adjacent to publicly sewered areas, the use of private sewerage treatment may be acceptable, providing it can be demonstrated that this can be delivered without any negative impacts to public health, the environment or the quality of watercourses or groundwater.

In settlements served by the public foul sewer, permission for an individual private sewage treatment system will normally be refused unless exceptional circumstances prevail and the conditions in criteria (d) above can be satisfied.

Development will be refused if:

a) it will result in a proliferation of individual septic tanks or other private water treatment infrastructure within settlements,

b) it will overload existing mains infrastructure or it is impractical for the developer to provide for new infrastructure.

## SUSTAINABLE URBAN DRAINAGE

Surface water management for new development, for both greenfield and brownfield sites, must comply with current best practice on sustainable urban drainage systems to the satisfaction of the council, Scottish Environment Protection Agency (where required), Scottish Natural Heritage and other interested parties where required. Development will be refused unless surface water treatment is dealt with in a sustainable manner that avoids flooding, pollution, extensive canalisation and culverting of watercourses. A drainage strategy should be submitted with planning applications to include treatment and flood attenuation measures and details for the long term maintenance of any necessary features.

## OTHER MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS

- SBC Supplementary Planning Guidance on Householder Development (incorporating privacy and light guidance) 2006
- SBC Supplementary Planning Guidance on Placemaking and Design 2010
- SBC Supplementary Planning Guidance on Waste Management 2015
- SBC Supplementary Planning Guidance on Sustainable Urban Drainage 2020
- Scottish Planning Policy 2014
- Scottish Government: Flood Risk-Planning Advice 2015
- Visit Scotland – Tourism Development Framework for Scotland – Role of the planning system in delivering the visitor economy (Refresh 2016)